Agenda Item: 6

PLANNING ACTIVITY REPORT – Q1 2025/26

1. Background

- 1.1 The Ebbsfleet Development Corporation (EDC), as a Local Planning Authority, has a requirement to collect and submit data to the Ministry of Housing Communities and Local Government (MHCLG) on the time taken to determine planning applications and the quality of decisions.
- 1.2 The approach to planning performance is published by the government in a document entitled 'Improving Planning Performance Criteria for designation'. This document covers performance in relation to both speed and quality of decisions and was most recently updated in December 2024.
- 1.3 The performance thresholds for the reporting period are:
 - o Speed Major Developments: to determine 60% of applications within 13 weeks.
 - Speed Non-Major Developments: to determine 70% of applications within 8 weeks.
 - Quality Major Developments: to have no more than 10% of appeals overturned.
 - Quality Non-Major Developments: to have no more than 10% of appeals overturned.
- 1.4 It should be noted that the quality of decision making in relation to appeals is calculated on the basis of the number of schemes overturned in the context of the overall number of decisions made by an authority during the assessment period.
- 1.5 This paper provides EDC's Planning Committee with the statistics for Q1 of 2025/26.

2. Statistics

- 2.1 Annex A contains a series of charts showing data concerning the determination of planning applications.
- 2.2 Figure 1 shows the number of applications received and determined for the year by quarter. During the period 1st April 2025 to 30th June 2025 the Corporation received 8no. planning applications which fall within the statutory returns. During this same period the Corporation determined 15no. such planning applications.
- 2.3 Applications can be subject to a bespoke determination timescale which is agreed between the applicant and the local planning authority. These can be in the form of a Planning Performance Agreement (PPA) or a Planning Extension Agreement (PEA). Of the decisions this guarter 7no. were subject to either a PPA or PEA.
- 2.4 Figures 2 & 3 show performance against the targets for major and non-major applications set out in paragraph 1.3. The overall performance for the period 1st April 2025 to 30th June 2025 is:
 - o 'Major applications' determined within time or within agreed time is 100%.
 - 'Non-major applications' determined within time or within agreed time is 100%.
- 2.5 The Corporation received no planning appeal decisions during Q1.

3. Advice and Analysis

- 3.1 This report is submitted for information and enables EDC's Planning Committee to monitor the work of the Planning Team.
- 3.2 It should be noted that the activity outlined in these performance statistics relates only to those applications which are covered under the statutory reporting process. The Corporation considers a substantial number of other applications including preapplication enquiries, condition discharge applications and non-material amendment applications which do not get captured in these numbers.
- 3.3 The overall activity for Q1 including those applications not formally reported shows 60no. being received and 69no. being determined.

4. Planning Appeals

4.1 During Q1 the Corporation received no planning appeal decisions, nor were any new planning appeals lodged.

5. Planning Enforcement

- 5.1 In relation to planning enforcement matters, no formal notices were served during Q1.
- 5.2 During this quarter, 8no. new enforcement cases were received and 7no. existing cases were closed.
- 5.3 A notable closed case related to the use of land at the Bean Triangle for storage containers, for which it was resolved not expedient to take enforcement action. Two of the closed cases were resolved by addressing the planning breach via approval of retrospective planning applications. Another notable case that was closed related to a primary electricity substation in Northfleet West that had been installed with the wrong colour cladding (bright blue), which was resolved without the need for formal enforcement action to be taken as, following correspondence from the LPA, applicant replaced the cladding in accordance with the approved details (grey).

6. Financial and Legal Implications

- 6.1 Planning income for 1st April 2025 to 30th June 2025 was £51,591.00. Annex B (Figure 1) shows the planning application fees received.
- 6.2 The most significant application fee received during this quarter related to a full planning application in relation to redevelopment of the site at Abacus Corner in Northfleet which proposed construction of 68 Residential Units and associated infrastructure (£37,139.00) whilst the application was not validated in Q1 the application fee was received during this quarter.
- 6.3 If the Local Planning Authority is designated as non-performing then applicants would have the choice of submitting applications to the Planning Inspectorate, which would include the fee. This would not only take control away from the Corporation but would reduce income.
- 6.4 There are no legal implications arising directly from this report.

7. Recommendation

7.1	monitoring	development	for information management committee to co	activity	EDC' and	s Planning therefore	g Comr there	nittee are	in no
Annex	A: Applicat	ions							

Figure 1: Number of applications received and determined per quarter




Figure 2: Percentage of Major Applications determined against the statutory performance target per quarter





Figure 3: Percentage of Non-Major Applications determined against the statutory performance target per quarter



Annex B: Planning Fees

Figure 1: Planning application fees received per quarter

